

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,534	12/14/2001	Atsushi Funabiki	Q67681	4025
7590 09/17/2004 Sughrue Mion Zinn Macpeak & Seas			EXAMINER	
			CHANEY, CAROL DIANE	
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue N W Washington, DC 20037			· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
······································			1745	
			DATE MAILED: 09/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 h
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Antine Sugar-	10/009,534	FUNABIKI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
THE WALL WO DATE of this communication	Carol Chaney	1745
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP. THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron the, cause the application to become ABANDONI	imely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24.		
,	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1900 C.D. 11, 4	-00 O.G. 210.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119) (I) (I)
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1745

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicants' amendment "wherein said Li is not the element intercalated by the electrochemical discharge reaction in the electrolyte" is not described in the specification as originally filed. Since applicants inventive cathode is intended to be used in lithium secondary batteries, it would appear that the element lithium is intercalated into the cathode material during operation of the battery.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicants' specification discloses "amorphous β-FeOOH". "Amorphous" is defined as "having no real or apparent crystalline form", (See Merriam-Webster Online Dictionary http://www.m-w.com/cgi-

Page 3

Art Unit: 1745

bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=amorphous&x=13&y=13). β-FeOOH describes a crystal structure. (See Shreir et al., *Corrosion*, Butterworth, Heinemann, 2000, Table 21.8.) A material which simultaneously has no real or apparent crystalline form and an orthorhombic crystal structure is indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 2, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Amin, JP 10-233215 A.

Amin discloses positive active material for lithium batteries having the formula β -Fe_{1-x}Me_xOOHCl_z where $0 \le x \le 1$, $0 \le z \le 1$, and Me can be any of Ni, Co, Zn, C, Al, Mg, Ca, or B. The material is formed by hydrolysis of a material whose base material is iron chloride or iron. (See abstract.) For the case where z=0, the material is β -FeOOH containing an additional element, as recited in applicants' claim 1. The material disclosed by Amin is formed by hydrolysis of FeCl₃ as a base material at a temperature of 70° C. (See Amin, paragraph 11.) With regards to claim 9, the active material disclosed by Amin is used as the positive material of a lithium secondary battery. (See abstract and title.)

Art Unit: 1745

Amin does not recite half-widths of x-ray diffraction peaks of the disclosed β-Fe_{1-x}Me_xOOH. However, both Amin and the applicant form materials by essentially identical methods. Both Amin and applicants form inventive materials by hydrolysis of FeCl₃ as a base material at similar temperatures. Therefore, absent a showing to the contrary, the materials formed should be essentially identical and therefore inherently have similar physical properties, including x-ray diffraction peak half widths. Alternatively, applicants' invention would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art based upon the disclosure of Amin.

Claims 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amin in view of Maegawa et al., US Patent 6,383,235 B1.

As discussed above, Amine et al. disclose applicants' invention essentially as claimed, with the exception that Amine et al. do not specifically disclose particle sizes or aspect ratios of Fe_{1-x}Me_xOOHCl_z cathode materials. Maegawa et al. disclose lithium transition metal oxides as cathode materials for secondary lithium batteries. Iron is specifically mentioned as a metal. (See column 17, lines 5-6.) Thus, Maegawa et al. disclose cathode materials which are essentially analogous to the lithium iron oxyhydroxide cathode materials disclosed by Amin. Maegawa et al. teach particle size between 0.5 to 5.0 microns are suitable for cathode materials. (Note Maegawa et al., column 6, lines 52-54.) Maegawa teach spherical cathode materials can be densely packed and this is desirable in raising the capacity per unit volume of the battery. (See column 7, lines 10-14.) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

Art Unit: 1745

the art to size the β-FeOOH disclosed by Amine et al. as spherical particles with sizes between 0.5 to 5.0 microns because Maegawa et al. teach such a size is suitable for lithium ion secondary batteries with lithium transition metal oxide type cathode materials and the spherical shape will improve packing and energy density in the battery.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carol Chaney whose telephone number is (571) 272-1284. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Carl Chanes
Carol Chaney
Primary Examiner

Primary Examinei Art Unit 1745